

Water Loss

IWA TASK FORCE

Assessing non-revenue water and its components: a practical approach

● In this second article in a special series for *Water21* by the IWA Water Loss Task Force, **ALLAN LAMBERT** highlights practical developments over the last decade in managing water loss in public supply distribution systems.

An annual water balance is normally used to assess Non-Revenue Water (NRW) and its components. Unfortunately, because of the wide diversity of formats and definitions used for such calculations, previous attempts at national and international comparisons of performance in NRW management and performance have been open to considerable doubt.

IWA Task Forces recently produced an international 'best practice' standard approach for water balance calculations (Figure 1), with definitions of all terms involved, as the essential first step in practical management of water losses (Hirner and Lambert, 2000; Alegre et al, 2000).
Abbreviated definitions of

the principal components of Figure 1 are:

- System Input Volume: the annual input to a defined part of the water supply system
- Authorised Consumption: the annual volume of metered and/or non-metered water taken by registered customers, the water supplier and others implicitly or explicitly authorised to do so. It includes water exported, and leaks and overflows after the point of customer metering.
- Non-Revenue Water (NRW): the difference between System Input Volume and Billed Authorised Consumption.
- NRW consists of Unbilled Authorised Consumption and Water Losses

- Water Losses: the difference between System Input Volume and Authorised Consumption, consisting of Apparent Losses and Real Losses
- Apparent Losses consists of Unauthorised Consumption and Metering Inaccuracies
- Real Losses: the annual volumes lost through all types of leaks, bursts and overflows on mains, service reservoirs and service connections, up to the point of customer metering.

IWA Task Forces have recommended that use of the term 'unaccounted for water' be discontinued (Alegre et al 2000), because of widely varying interpretations of the term worldwide.

The components of the water balance should always be calculated and expressed as volumes before attempting to calculate performance indicators. The separation of NRW into components – Unbilled Authorised Consumption, Apparent Losses and Real Losses – should always be attempted.

Where national standards are being reviewed, or proposed for the first time, the IWA 'best practice' water balance should be the first logical choice, as it can be used as the basis for both national and international performance comparisons. Where an alternative published well-defined national format for water balance already exists (e.g. in England & Wales), the components should be

re-ordered into the IWA standard approach before attempting international performance comparisons. The IWA 'best practice' Water Balance is rapidly gaining international acceptance, and has already been adopted or promoted (with minor variations) by:

- DVGW (Germany), Australia (Water Services Association and Queensland Environmental Protection Agency), Malta Water Services Corporation and its regulator, South African Water Research Commission, New Zealand Water and Waste Association, American Water Works Association, and the Canadian Federation of Municipalities and National Research Centre.
- Utilities and/or consultants working in Austria, Brazil, Cyprus, Ghana, Jordan, Kazakhstan, Malaysia, Oman, Palestine, Saudi Arabia, the United Kingdom, Uzbekistan, and the countries mentioned above.
- Thornton (2002), and Farley and Trow (2003), in recently published books

Checking the reliability of water balance calculations

All metered or assessed input data to the water balance are subject to errors and uncertainty, to a greater or lesser extent. These errors accumulate in the calculated volumes of NRW and Real Losses. For highly sectorised systems with continuous night flow measurements, the Real Losses volume can be

Figure 1 The IWA 'best practice' standard water balance

System Input Volume (corrected for known errors)	Authorised consumption	Billed Authorised Consumption	Billed Metered Consumption (including water exported)	Revenue Water
			Billed Unmetered Consumption	
	Water losses	Unbilled Authorised Consumption	Unbilled Metered Consumption	Non-Revenue Water (NRW)
			Unbilled Unmetered Consumption	
	Real Losses	Apparent Losses	Unauthorised Consumption	
			Customer Metering Inaccuracies	
Leakage on Transmission and/or Distribution Mains				
		Leakage and Overflows at Utility's Storage Tanks		
		Leakage on Service Connections up to point of Customer metering		

independently checked by 'bottom-up' calculations based on analysis of night flows (Ofwat, 2001), but that calculation also has errors and uncertainties. Another method of assessing Annual Real Losses from first principles is Component Analysis. In this approach, annual volume of real losses is assessed using numbers, average flow rates and average run-times of different types of leaks and bursts (background, reported and unreported) on different parts of the distribution infrastructure. A calibrated component analysis model is also useful for evaluating alternative leakage management options.

Introducing 95% confidence limits to water loss calculations

Irrespective of which method or methods are used to evaluate water losses, uncertainty will always exist in the calculated values of NRW, Apparent Losses and Real Losses. A practical approach to dealing with uncertainty is to attempt to quantify it. Uncertainty calculations have been standard practice for many years in hydrological measurements such as gauging of river flows, but until recently been not been used in water loss calculations.

Software now exists for water balance calculations with provision for entering 95% confidence limits for all data entry items, and automatic calculation of 95% confidence limits for NRW and Real Losses (e.g. NZWWA 2002;

Parcampos and Thornton 2002; Liemberger and McKenzie 2003). The use of 95%iles simply means that calculations made with approximate data have wider confidence limits than calculations made with more reliable data. Table 1 demonstrates the application of 95% confidence limits to a simplified IWA standard water balance.

If it is considered necessary to improve the reliability of NRW or Real Losses estimates, the 'Entered Value' component with the greatest Variance should be the priority (in Table 1 this would be the System Input Volume).

Unavoidable annual real losses (UARL)

Real Losses cannot be eliminated totally. The lowest technically achievable annual volume of Real Losses for well-maintained and well-managed systems is known as Unavoidable Annual Real Losses (UARL). Figure 2 shows the relationship between Current Annual Real Losses (CARL) from an IWA water balance – represented by the large rectangle – and UARL (the smaller rectangle). Using the four methods of leakage management (the four arrows), Real Losses can be controlled, but (at the current operating pressure) cannot be reduced any further than the UARL.

System-specific values of UARL can be assessed using a formula developed by the IWA Water Losses Task Force. (Lambert et al, 1999). Data required for this assessment are

the number of service connections (Nc), the length of mains (Lm in km), the length of private pipes (Lp in km) between the street:property boundary and customer meters, and the average operating pressure (P metres). The general equation for UARL is:

$$UARL \text{ (litres/day, when system is pressurised)} = (18 \times Lm + 0.8 \times Nc + 25 \times Lp) \times P$$

This equation, based on component analysis of Real Losses for well-managed systems with good infrastructure, has proved to be robust in diverse international situations (Lambert and McKenzie, 2002), and is the most reliable predictor yet of 'how low could you go' with real losses for systems with more than 5000 service connections,

at the current operating pressure, with world 'best practice' for speed and quality of repairs, active leakage control and pipeline and assets management, if economics is not a constraint (i.e. for systems where water is scarce or has very high marginal costs). UARL values have now been calculated for several hundred diverse systems world-wide (Lambert, 2003), but are being achieved by only a few of the world's best leakage practitioners.

UARL is used in the calculation of a new and important performance indicator, the Infrastructure Leakage Index (ILI), which is the ratio of CARL to UARL. Performance indicators will be discussed in a later article in this series.

In the next article in the series, Julian Thornton, Leader of the Pressure Management Team in

Table 1: An IWA Standard Water Balance with 95% Confidence Limits

Component of IWA Standard Water Balance	Megalitres/year	95% Confidence Limits	Standard Deviation	Variance
System Input Volume	6117	+/- 2%	61	3721
Billed Authorised Consumption	5200	+/- 1%	26	676
Non-revenue Water	917	+/- 15%	69	4397
Unbilled Authorised Consumption	80	+/- 50%	20	400
Water Losses	837	+/- 17%	71	4997
Apparent Losses	111	+/- 50%	23	529
Real Losses	726	+/- 20%	74	5526

Key: Entered values **Derived values**

connection density (Nc/Lm) more than 20 per km, and average pressure more than 25 metres.

For example, the water balance in Table 1 relates to a system with Lm = 603 km, Nc = 16,359 service connections, Lp = 0 km of private pipe (customer meters being at the street/property boundary), and P = 65 metres. Using the equation, the UARL for this system can be quickly assessed as 1556 m³/day, 568 Ml/year, which can then be compared with the current annual real losses of 726 Ml/year +/- 20% from Table 1.

The UARL formula is a practical user-friendly tool for assessing a system-specific lower limit for the annual volume of Real losses that would be technically achievable

the IWA Water Loss Task Force, will outline the practical approach to 'Managing Leakage by Managing Pressure'. ●

The author

Allan Lambert chaired the first IWA Water Loss Task Force (1996 to 2001), and is an international consultant in water loss management. AOandJGLambert@aol.com

References

A list of references mentioned in this article is available as part of a longer version of the article via a link to Water Losses Task Force page from the web page of the IWA Operations & Maintenance Specialist Group - <http://www.iwahq.org.uk/template.cfm?name=sg45>, or from the author.

Figure 2: The four basic methods of managing Real Losses

