Analysis of the Evaluation of the IWRM Planning meeting 18-22 July

Question 1: What topics did the meeting bring up that were useful and why they were useful?

**Cook Islands**
- Discussion sessions and information sharing (challenges and success stories shared by PIC's)

**Fiji**
- IWRM National Planning Programme
- Communications plan and strategy
- Stakeholder analysis

**Kiribati**
- Communications plan and strategy

**Nauru**
- IWRM Planning programme (Initiating processes, creating political will)
- Stakeholder analysis and approach

**Niue**
- All topics discussed during the meeting

**Palau**
- Stakeholder analysis and approach
- IWRM Partnerships (GEF AND EU linkage)

**Papua New Guinea**
- IWRM Planning Programme (Lessons learnt)

**Samoa**
- Stakeholder analysis and approach
- Communications plan and strategy
- IWRM monitoring and evaluation (focus and opportunities)
- IWRM roadmap
- Resource centre
- IWRM Planning programme (Lessons learnt)
Solomon Islands
- Stakeholder analysis and approach
- Communications plan and strategy

Tonga
- Stakeholder analysis and approach
- Sustainable management of water resources

Tuvalu
- Roadmap for IWRM
- Stakeholder analysis and approach
- Communications plan and strategy
- EU's contribution to IWRM

Vanuatu
- IWRM Planning Process (Lessons learnt + tools to develop IWRM plans)
- Update on GEF IWRM application process

Resource Person
- IWRM approached in the Pacific island countries

Anonymous
- IWRM roadmap
- IWRM planning process
- Country based presentations
- Stakeholder analysis and approach
Question 2: What topics did the meeting bring up that were not useful and why they were not useful?

**Cook Islands**
- None

**Fiji**
- None

**Kiribati**
- None

**Nauru**
- None

**Niue**
- None

**Palau**
- Since media operates differently in all PIC’s, rules of engagement therefore differ country to country and hence a general overview of how to engage media was not useful (specifics required-country by country)

**Papua New Guinea**
- Stakeholder analysis and approach session lacked structure. Aware of their stakeholders but need information on what would strengthen their committees.

**Samoa**
- All session were useful however some of the sessions covered topics that Samoa has already achieved in terms of IWRM.

**Solomon Islands**
- None

**Tonga**
- None

**Tuvalu**
- None

**Vanuatu**
- Stakeholder tool is not useful in terms of Vanuatu's situation

**Resource Person**
- None

**Anonymous**
- None
Question 3: What do you need further information on?

**Cook Islands**
- A guide to formulating terms of reference (TOR) for the various committees.

**Fiji**
- Stakeholder analysis
- Water reform strategies (case studies of institutional reform, IWRM planning and good governance)

**Kiribati**
- A better and more efficient partnership between Pacific island countries to enable access to country demonstration projects and lessons learnt.
- Technical support form other PIC’s in terms of completion of demonstration project

**Nauru**
- Stakeholder analysis process
- Communications strategy

**Niue**
- Funding and implementation process between PMU and local implementing agency.
- GEF guidelines for implementing demonstration project

**Palau**
- Access to available tool kits on IWRM
- EU support (formal proposal, signed letters and other such documentation)

**Papua New Guinea**
- Information on IWRM road maps
- Terms of reference for the water committees

**Samoa**
- Information on implementation of IWRM
- Policy frameworks required
- Monitoring and evaluation with indicator list
- Official letter stating the Current status of EU planning for IWRM for the focal points
- Funding sources and time frame of scheduling the project

**Solomon Islands**
- Information is required on water use efficiency (WUE) in order to draft a WUE plan.
- Stakeholder analysis process
- Communications strategy
Tonga
- Information on drafting or formulating a management plan for sustainable water use at a regional and national level.
- Planning process steps and policies
- Training on reporting financially

Tuvalu
- More information on Implementation Plan

Vanuatu
- Cost benefit analysis tool
- Evaluation and monitoring matrix to be used for reporting format and timelines.
- Compensation mechanism with regards to Vanuatu’s situation (In Vanuatu people are compensated to draw up the zones for new water supply)

Resource Person
- Interested in getting all the presentations and documents

Anonymous
- Conflict resolution mechanisms

Question 4: How did you like the form of the meeting sessions?
Question 5: What working sessions/groups were good and why?

**Cook Islands**
- All sessions were good as they were informative and interactive

**Fiji**
- IWRM planning session as it identifies the status of the countries and included needs analysis for further development.
- Roadmap exercise

**Kiribati**
- All sessions were good

**Nauru**
- IWRM planning process session

**Niue**
- All sessions were good

**Palau**
- Road mapping with the Solomon Islands country presentation. It was informative as lessons were shared and other countries were encouraged by progress made and potential benefits that were mentioned.

**Papua New Guinea**
- Stakeholder identification and categorizing group work as it provides a platform that can be used similarly for other projects.

**Samoa**
- Road map planning session as it enabled the countries to share experiences and discuss the way forward.

**Solomon Islands**
- IWRM planning process as it was very informative in an area that is lacking

**Tonga**
- All sessions were informative

**Tuvalu**
- Technical assistance, EU policy strategic plan and IWRM planning process group works

**Vanuatu**
- National IWRM planning process
- Road mapping for IWRM implantation and initiation

**Resource Person**
- Road mapping session
Anonymous
  • Road mapping session
  • IWRM planning session

Question 6: What working sessions/groups were not good and why?

Cook Islands
  • None

Fiji
  • None

Kiribati
  • None

Nauru
  • None

Niue
  • None

Palau
  • IWRM goals group work

Papua New Guinea
  • The road mapping exercise as it could have been done without group work

Samoa
  • None

Solomon Islands
  • None

Tonga
  • None

Tuvalu
  • None

Vanuatu
  • Stakeholders working group not relevant

Resource Person
  • None

Anonymous
  • None

Question 7: Any other comments

Cook Islands
Fiji
- Time management should be looked into as there were many delays and the sessions did not run accordingly.
- A need to build country’s capacity and get IWRM processes rolling (Need for ACTION)

Kiribati
- Need to maintain effective communication post Niue

Nauru
- None

Niue
- None

Palau
- None

Papua New Guinea
- GEF - IWRM road map should have been discussed and compiled as it would have given a clearer direction to the countries in terms of “where to from here” and made it easier to formulate the EU-IWRM tasks and started to incorporate some of these activities into their proposals while a GEF PMU manager is appointed.

Samoa
- Further discussions required on monitoring and evaluation not only at project level but also make it inclusive of individual milestone achievements
- More training for focal points to enable them to be more aware of policy planning framework and policy formulation
- Enhancing IWRM at national level as it focuses on inter-departmental collaboration. More IWRM awareness is required.
- Media engagement on IWRM is still lacking and needs to be looked at.
- To enable the smooth transition of IWRM policies, the IWRM focal points need to be in place of power to ensure the implementation of the policies with the consultation of the service providers.
- There is a need for an official letter of notification on the status of both the GEF and EU components of IWRM.

Solomon Islands
• It would be beneficial if the IWRM planning session is conducted in each country as this will ensure the correct dissemination of information and involve the stakeholders in each country.
• More information on the synopsis and formulation of IWRM plans is required.

Tonga
• Very well organized

Tuvalu
• Next meeting should be in Tonga

Vanuatu
• None

Resource Person
• None

Anonymous
• Well organized